| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 10/564,396 | TAE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Benny Lee | 2817 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ |
| (1) <u>Benny Lee (PTO)</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Catherine Voorhees. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 30 March 2009 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 2,4,5,10,13,20,27,29 Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: | | |
| The examiner and applicant's representative discussed and reached agreement on changes to the above listed claims which would clarify the claim language and place the application in condition for allowance. See accompanying examiners amendment. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (A | applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |
| | | |